Data Protection & Privacy

Contributing editor
Wim Nauwelaerts







Data Protection & Privacy 2017

Contributing editor
Wim Nauwelaerts
Hunton & Williams

Publisher Gideon Roberton gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions Sophie Pallier subscriptions@gettingthedealthrough.com

Senior business development managers Alan Lee alan.lee@gettingthedealthrough.com

Adam Sargent adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

Dan White dan.white@gettingthedealthrough.com





Published by Law Business Research Ltd 87 Lancaster Road London, W11 1QQ, UK Tel: +44 20 3708 4199 Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

© Law Business Research Ltd 2016 No photocopying without a CLA licence. First published 2012 Fifth edition ISSN 2051-1280 The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. The information provided was verified between July and August 2016. Be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by Encompass Print Solutions Tel: 0844 2480 112



CONTENTS

Introduction	5	Malta	82
Wim Nauwelaerts Hunton & Williams		Olga Finkel, Robert Zammit and Rachel Vella-Baldacchino WH Partners	
EU overview	8	Mexico	88
Wim Nauwelaerts and Anna Pateraki		Gustavo A Alcocer and Abraham Díaz Arceo	
Hunton & Williams		Olivares	
Safe Harbor and the Privacy Shield	10	Poland	94
Aaron P Simpson		Arwid Mednis and Gerard Karp	
Hunton & Williams		Wierzbowski Eversheds	
Australia	12	Russia	101
Alex Hutchens, Jeremy Perier and Eliza Humble McCullough Robertson		Ksenia Andreeva, Anastasia Dergacheva, Vasilisa Strizh and Brian Zimbler Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	
Austria	18		
Rainer Knyrim		Serbia	108
Preslmayr Rechtsanwälte OG		Bogdan Ivanišević and Milica Basta BDK Advokati	
Belgium	25		
Wim Nauwelaerts and David Dumont		Singapore	113
Iunton & Williams		Lim Chong Kin and Charmian Aw Drew & Napier LLC	
Brazil	33		
Ricardo Barretto Ferreira and Paulo Brancher		Slovakia	126
Azevedo Sette Advogados		Radoslava Rybanová and Jana Bezeková Černejová & Hrbek, sro	
Chile	38		
Claudio Magliona, Nicolás Yuraszeck and Carlos Araya García Magliona & Cía Abogados		South Africa Danie Strachan and André Visser Adams & Adams	132
Denmark	43		
Michael Gorm Madsen		Sweden	141
Lundgrens Law Firm P/S		Henrik Nilsson	
		Wesslau Söderqvist Advokatbyrå	
Germany	49		
Peter Huppertz		Switzerland	148
Hoffmann Liebs Fritsch & Partner		Lukas Morscher and Kaj Seidl-Nussbaumer Lenz & Staehelin	
India	55		
Stephen Mathias and Naqeeb Ahmed Kazia		Taiwan	155
Kochhar & Co		Ken-Ying Tseng and Rebecca Hsiao Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law	
Ireland	61		
Anne-Marie Bohan	•	Turkey	161
Matheson		Ozan Karaduman and Bentley James Yaffe Gün + Partners	
Japan	70		
Akemi Suzuki	<u> </u>	United Kingdom	167
Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu		Bridget Treacy	
		Hunton & Williams	
Luxembourg	76		
Marielle Stevenot, Rima Guillen and Charles-Henri Laevens		United States	173
MNKS		Lisa J Sotto and Aaron P Simpson	
		Hunton & Williams	

SERBIA BDK Advokati

Serbia

Bogdan Ivanišević and Milica Basta

BDK Advokati

Law and the regulatory authority

1 Legislative framework

Summarise the legislative framework for the protection of personally identifiable information (PII). Does your jurisdiction have a dedicated data protection law? Have any international instruments on privacy or data protection been adopted in your jurisdiction?

The Personal Data Protection Act 2008 (DP Act), governs the collection and use of PII. Serbia has not fully implemented Directive 95/46/EC on data protection. However, the DP Act is consistent with some of the basic principles of the Data Protection Directive.

Sectoral laws also apply to PII processing in particular areas (see questions 5 and 6).

2 Data protection authority

Which authority is responsible for overseeing the data protection law? Describe the investigative powers of the authority.

The Serbian data protection authority responsible for overseeing the implementation of the DP Act is the Commissioner for Information of Public Importance and Personal Data Protection (the Commissioner).

In the performance of its tasks, the Commissioner has the right to access and examine:

- PII and PII files:
- all documents relating to collection of PII and to other processing activities, as well as to the exercise of the rights of the individual;
- · PII owners' general enactments; and
- · premises and equipment that the PII owners use.

As a supervisory authority, the Commissioner has the power to supervise PII owners by means of inspections. The inspectors act upon information acquired ex officio or received from complainants or third parties.

3 Breaches of data protection

Can breaches of data protection law lead to administrative sanctions or orders, or criminal penalties? How would such breaches be handled?

Breaches of the DP Act, established in the process of supervision, may result in an issuance of warnings or orders by the Commissioner. When the Commissioner detects a breach, he or she may:

- order the rectification of the irregularity within a specified period of time:
- temporarily ban the processing carried out in breach of the provisions of the DP Act; or
- · order deletion of the PII collected without a proper legal basis.

Some of the breaches of law are set out as misdemeanours for which the DP Act prescribes fines. The Commissioner is authorised to initiate misdemeanour proceedings, while misdemeanour courts conduct the proceedings and impose sanctions.

There are also criminal penalties for unauthorised collection of personal information. The penalties are not prescribed in the DP Act, but in the Criminal Code (article 146), and ordinary courts are in charge of imposing them.

Scope

4 Exempt sectors and institutions

Does the data protection law cover all sectors and types of organisation or are some areas of activity outside its scope?

In general, the DP Act covers all sectors and types of organisation, as well as areas of activity. As a partial exception, the DP Act does not apply to political parties, organisations, trade unions and other forms of associations who process PII pertaining to their members, provided that the member has waived in writing the application of specified provisions of the Act for a specified period of time not exceeding the termination of his or her membership.

In addition, most of the provisions of the DP Act do not apply to journalists and other media operatives when they process PII for the sole purpose of publishing the information in the mass media. The law fully applies, however, to the processing of PII for advertising purposes.

5 Communications, marketing and surveillance laws

Does the data protection law cover interception of communications, electronic marketing or monitoring and surveillance of individuals? If not, list other relevant laws in this regard.

The DP Act is an 'umbrella regulation' in the field of PII protection in Serbia. Therefore the general principles set out in the DP Act apply to all forms of PII processing, including interception of communications, electronic marketing, and monitoring and surveillance of individuals. There are also sectoral laws regulating PII processing in these fields. For example, the Electronic Communications Act 2010 regulates interception of communications, while the E-commerce Act 2009 regulates electronic marketing. Comprehensive regulation of the monitoring and surveillance of individuals is still missing.

6 Other laws

Identify any further laws or regulations that provide specific data protection rules for related areas?

The following laws provide for specific data protection rules:

- Patients' Rights Act 2013 on the obligation of health professionals to keep the patients' PII confidential;
- Labour Act 2005 on PII processing within the employment sector. The law provides for the right of employees to access the PII held by their employer and to have specific parts of their PII corrected or erased;
- Labour Records Act 1996 on collecting and keeping the PII in the employment sector;
- Healthcare Records Act 1998 on collecting and keeping the PII in the healthcare sector;
- High Education Act 2005 on PII processing within the sector of high education;

- Education System Act 2009 on PII processing within the education sector. The processing includes collecting and keeping the PII of pupils, parents, teachers and other employees;
- Pension and Disability Insurance Act 2003 on collecting and keeping PII within the sector of pension and disability insurance;
- Health Insurance Act 2005 on collecting and keeping PII within the health insurance sector; and
- E-Commerce Act 2009, Consumer Protection Act 2014 and Advertising Act 2016 on obtaining consent for direct marketing targeting the consumer.

7 PII formats

What forms of PII are covered by the law?

The DP Act covers all forms of PII. It defines personal data as 'any information relating to a natural person, regardless of the form in which it is manifested or the medium used (paper, tape, film, electronic media, and similar)'.

8 Extraterritoriality

Is the reach of the law limited to PII owners and processors of PII established or operating in the jurisdiction?

The DP Act applies to all PII owners, users and processors who process PII in the territory of the Republic of Serbia, regardless of where they have been established or where their seat is.

Overed uses of PII

Is all processing or use of PII covered? Is a distinction made between those who control or own PII and those who provide PII processing services to owners?

The DP Act covers all forms of use or other processing of PII. The Act defines PII processing as any action taken in connection with the information, including: collection, recording, transcription, multiplication, copying, transmission, search, classification, storage, separation, adaptation, modification, making available, use, dissemination, recording, storage, disclosure through transmission or otherwise, dislocation, as well as other actions carried out in connection with the PII, regardless of whether such actions are automated, semi-automated, or carried out otherwise.

There is a statutory distinction between those who own PII and those who process PII on behalf of the owners. The former have the status of 'data controllers' and are entirely responsible for PII. They are in charge of establishing and maintaining PII processing records, notifying the Commissioner of their intent to establish a PII file, registering a PII file with the Central Data Filing System Register, responding to individuals' requests to access the PII, and so on. The latter have the status of 'data processors' and are responsible for processing the entrusted PII properly, in accordance with law or contract provisions, and also for the implementation of adequate security measures.

Legitimate processing of PII

10 Legitimate processing - grounds

Does the law require that the holding of PII be legitimised on specific grounds, for example to meet the owner's legal obligations or if the individual has provided consent?

The processing has to be grounded in either a statutory provision or the data subject's consent. The consent must be given in a proper form (ie, in writing or orally on the record).

11 Legitimate processing - types of PII

Does the law impose more stringent rules for specific types of PIIP

The DP Act has strict requirements concerning the processing of 'particularly sensitive data', defined as PII relating to ethnicity, race, gender, language, religion, political party affiliation, trade union membership, health status, receipt of social support, status of a victim of violence, criminal record and sex life. Only the data subject's consent may constitute legal basis for the processing of particularly sensitive PII. The form of the consent, as prescribed by the DP Act, is more stringent than the form of

consent for the processing of other types of PII. Exceptionally, PII relating to political party affiliation, health status or receipt of social support may be processed without consent, if a law permits it. Processing of particularly sensitive PII must be specially marked and protected by safeguards.

Data handling responsibilities of owners of PII

12 Notification

Does the law require owners of PII to notify individuals whose PII they hold? What must the notice contain and when must it be provided?

The PII owner has to inform individuals on all relevant aspects of the PII processing. The notice, as a rule, has to be provided before the PII is collected and has to contain information about:

- the name and address or business name of the PII owner or the identity of another person responsible for PII processing (if any);
- · the purpose of PII collection and the subsequent processing;
- · the manner in which the PII will be used;
- · the identity or categories of the users of the PII;
- the mandatory nature of, and the legal basis for, the processing; or, conversely, the voluntary nature of providing the PII;
- the individual's right to withdraw his or her consent to the processing and the legal consequences in the event of a withdrawal (the individual should compensate the PII owner for any reasonable costs and damages caused by the withdrawal);
- the individual's rights in the case of unlawful processing (eg, the right to request deletion of PII and suspension of the processing); and
- any other information, which, if withheld, could be considered contrary to 'conscientious practice'.

In addition, a PII owner who collects PII from a third party must inform the individual about it, without delay and in any event no later than at the time of the first processing.

13 Exemption from notification

When is notice not required?

Notice is not required when giving a notice would be impossible, evidently unnecessary, or unsuitable, especially if the individual has already been informed or the individual is unavailable. The Commissioner has provided little guidance on this issue.

When a PII owner collects PII from a third party, notice to the individual is not required if notification is impossible, unnecessary, or requires excessive use of time or efforts. Examples of when notification is unnecessary include the following:

- · the individual has been already informed;
- · the individual is unavailable; and
- a law provides for collection and processing of the PII obtained from a third party.

However, even in these cases the PII owner must notify the individual as soon as reasonably possible or, if the notification was evidently unnecessary, at the data subject's request.

14 Control of use

Must owners of PII offer individuals any degree of choice or control over the use of their information? In which circumstances?

Individuals may control use of their PII by not consenting to the PII processing, as well as by exercising the right to access their personal information held by PII owners and other substantive rights (rectification, modification, update and deletion of PII) (see questions 33 and 34).

15 Data accuracy

Does the law impose standards in relation to the quality, currency and accuracy of PII?

The DP Act prescribes in a general manner that the processing of PII is impermissible if the information is inaccurate or incomplete, or if it is not based on a credible source or is out of date.

SERBIA BDK Advokati

16 Amount and duration of data holding

Does the law restrict the amount of PII that may be held or the length of time it may be held?

The DP Act sets forth as one of its main principles that the amount of PII that may be processed has to be proportionate to the purpose of the processing. The Act does not prescribe any particular length of time during which the PII may be lawfully held, but the law indirectly imposes limits on the duration by forbidding further processing if the purpose of the processing has been modified or achieved.

17 Finality principle

Are the purposes for which PII can be used by owners restricted? Has the 'finality principle' been adopted?

The DP Act adopts the 'finality principle': the purpose of the processing of PII has to be clearly determined and permissible. As a rule, processing for the purposes other than those specified is not allowed.

18 Use for new purposes

If the finality principle has been adopted, how far does the law allow for PII to be used for new purposes? Are there exceptions or exclusions from the finality principle?

Personal information collected and processed for a particular purpose may also be processed for historical, statistical, or research and development purposes. In that case, the information has to be properly secured and cannot be used as a basis for rendering decisions or taking measures against the individual.

Security

19 Security obligations

What security obligations are imposed on PII owners and service providers that process PII on their behalf?

The DP Act does not impose specific obligations on PII owners and other processors concerning data security, but provides for their general duty to undertake proper 'technical, human resources, and organisational measures to protect the data in accordance with established standards and procedures in order to protect data from loss, damage, inadmissible access, modification, publication and any other abuse'.

The DP Act stipulates that the government should enact a decree specifying protection measures for particularly sensitive PII. In the eight years since the implementation of the law, the government has not adopted such an act.

20 Notification of data breach

Does the law include (general and/or sector-specific) obligations to notify the supervisory authority and individuals of data breaches? If breach notification is not required by law, is it recommended by the supervisory authority?

The law does not require PII owners to notify the Commissioner and the affected individuals of the data breach. The Commissioner has not issued any guidance in relation to this matter.

Internal controls

21 Data protection officer

Is the appointment of a data protection officer mandatory? What are the data protection officer's legal responsibilities?

Appointment of a data protection officer is not mandatory.

22 Record keeping

Are owners of PII required to maintain any internal records or establish internal processes or documentation?

PII owners are required to establish and maintain PII processing records that contain relevant information on the categories of the PII, name of the PII file, types of the processing activities, purpose of the processing, among others. PII owners do not have to maintain such records if:

- PII is processed solely for family or other personal purposes and is unavailable to the third parties;
- PII is processed for the purpose of maintaining registers required by law;
- · the PII file contains publicly available PII only; or
- PII relates to persons whose identity is not determined and the PII owner, processor or user is not authorised to determine such person's identity.

The Decree on the Form and Manner of Keeping Records of Personal Data Processing lays down the rules on the form that the processing records should take.

Registration and notification

23 Registration

Are PII owners and/or processors of PII required to register with the supervisory authority? Are there any exemptions?

PII owners are required to notify the Commissioner of the intended processing of PII, as well as to register with the Commissioner the PII processing records (filing systems) and any subsequent change in the records. The Commissioner maintains the Central Data Filing Systems Register, which includes both the notifications and the PII processing records. The obligation to notify about the intended processing does not exist if a specific law determines the purpose of the processing, the categories of PII to be processed, the categories of users of the PII, and the period during which the PII will be held. In contrast, there are no exceptions to the obligation to register the PII processing records.

24 Formalities

What are the formalities for registration?

When PII owners submit to the Commissioner the PII processing records, the records have to include the information referred to in the response to question 22 (categories of PII, name of the PII file, types of processing activities, purpose of the processing, and other information).

There is no payable fee for registration. Registration is valid for an indefinite period of time, so it does not have to be periodically renewed.

25 Penalties

What are the penalties for a PII owner or processor of PII for failure to make or maintain an entry on the register?

Under the DP Act, failure of the PII owner to register a data filing system or changes in the system within the required 15-day period constitutes a misdemeanour. The fine ranges from 50,000 to 1 million Serbian dinars for PII owners with the status of legal entities, and from 20,000 to 500,000 Serbian dinars for entrepreneurs. The fine for a natural person is 5,000 to 50,000 Serbian dinars. The same penalty applies to the responsible officer of a legal entity, state agency, or a governing body of the territorial autonomy or local self-government.

26 Refusal of registration

On what grounds may the supervisory authority refuse to allow an entry on the register?

The Commissioner may decide, when reviewing the notification files, that conditions for a lawful processing of PII are not met due to a lack of statutory basis for the processing or lack of consent, impermissible or undetermined purpose, impermissible means of processing, inadequacy of the PII for the achievement of the purpose, disproportionate amount or categories of the PII, or non-truthfulness or incompleteness of the information. If the prior checking results in a positive finding, the Commissioner has to allow an entry on the register.

27 Public access

Is the register publicly available? How can it be accessed?

The Central Data Filing System Register is publicly available on the official site of the Commissioner, at www.poverenik.rs/registar/index. php?lang=yu. The information on the site is in Serbian only. Upon request of the PII owner, the Commissioner may deny general access to the information contained in the filing system, if this is necessary for the

achievement of a prevailing interest of national or public safety, national defence, performance of the tasks by the public authorities, or financial interests of the state, or if a law or other type of regulation provides for confidentiality of the information in the filing system.

28 Effect of registration

Does an entry on the register have any specific legal effect?

The main purpose of an entry on the Central Data Filing Systems Register is to ensure transparency of the PII processing. That is, to make the information about the filing systems and the PII owners available to the general public.

Transfer and disclosure of PII

29 Transfer of PII

How does the law regulate the transfer of PII to entities that provide outsourced processing services?

There are no specific provisions regulating the transfer of PII to entities providing processing services to the PII owners. Under the DP Act, 'data processor' is a subject to whom the PII owner delegates certain processing-related activities on the basis of a law or contract.

30 Restrictions on disclosure

Describe any specific restrictions on the disclosure of PII to other recipients.

PII owners may disclose the PII to other recipients (PII users) only on the basis of a statutory provision or consent of the data subject. The purpose of the disclosure must be legitimate.

31 Cross-border transfer

Is the transfer of PII outside the jurisdiction restricted?

The cross-border transfer of PII from the Republic of Serbia to a country that is party to the Council of Europe Convention for the Protection of Individuals with regard to Automatic Processing of Personal Data (Convention 108) is not restricted nor subject to any authorisation. In a case of this kind, lawful processing of PII is the sole condition that PII owners have to meet in order to transfer the information lawfully. On the other hand, for cross-border transfer to countries that are not parties to Convention 108 and to international organisations, it is necessary to obtain prior approval from the Commissioner.

32 Notification of cross-border transfer

Does cross-border transfer of PII require notification to or authorisation from a supervisory authority?

Prior approval from the Commissioner is necessary for cross-border transfers of PII to countries not parties to Convention 108 and to international organisations. In such cases, PII owners have to submit requests to the Commissioner, designating the PII filing systems they intend to transfer, the countries or international organisations to whom they want to transfer the PII, the identity of the subject abroad to whom they want to transfer the PII, and other relevant information about the transfer. The PII owners also have to submit copies of the transfer agreements (or draft agreements) with the importers. The Commissioner then assesses the safeguard measures and other relevant circumstances of the intended transfer, and issues a decision. The procedure usually takes a few months.

33 Further transfer

If transfers outside the jurisdiction are subject to restriction or authorisation, do these apply equally to transfers to service providers and onwards transfers?

There are no specific provisions regulating further transfers of PII. The PII owner who applies for the initial transfer should include in the request, as an important aspect of the transfer, a reference to onward transfers, if any, to the PII processors or PII users. There has been no conclusive practice from which one might infer whether the Commissioner's decision on permissibility of the initial transfer depends on the Commissioner stance visà-vis the permissibility of the secondary transfer.

Rights of individuals

34 Access

Do individuals have the right to access their personal information held by PII owners? Describe how this right can be exercised as well as any limitations to this right.

Individuals have the right to be accurately and fully informed about the processing of their PII, the right to access the PII and the right to obtain a copy of the PII. In order to exercise these rights, the individual must submit a request to the PII owner, in the form prescribed by the DP Act. Restrictions on the enjoyment of the rights include the situation in which the individual requests information pertaining to the PII already in the public domain, whether in public registers or otherwise, and the situation in which the individual abuses his or her rights.

35 Other rights

Do individuals have other substantive rights?

Upon obtaining access to the PII, individuals have the right to require from the PII owners to correct, modify, update or delete the PII. They also may require suspension of the processing.

Individuals have the right to require deletion of the PII individuals when:

- · the purpose of the processing is not clearly specified;
- the purpose of the processing has changed and requirements for processing with the different purposes are not met;
- the purpose of the processing has been achieved or the PII is no longer needed for such purpose;
- · the PII is processed by impermissible means;
- the scope or type of the PII processed is disproportionate to the purpose of the processing;
- the PII is inaccurate and it is not possible under the circumstance to replace it with accurate PII by means of a correction; or
- the PII is processed without consent or statutory authorisation.

Individuals may obtain suspension of the processing if they successfully contest how accurate, complete or up to date the PII is. Pending a decision on the challenge, individuals may obtain designation of such PII as contested.

36 Compensation

Are individuals entitled to monetary damages or compensation if they are affected by breaches of the law? Is actual damage required or is injury to feelings sufficient?

Under the Obligations Act (1978), which contains general provisions on indemnity for torts, individuals are entitled to compensation of damage caused by violations of their right to protection of PII. PII owners may be liable both for actual damage and for moral damage (injury to feelings).

37 Enforcement

Are these rights exercisable through the judicial system or enforced by the supervisory authority or both?

If the PII owner rejects or denies the individual's request for exercising his or her rights, fails to decide on a request within the specified time limit, as well as in other cases prescribed by the DP Act, the individual may lodge a complaint with the Commissioner. The Commissioner issues a ruling, which may be challenged in administrative proceedings before the Administrative Court.

Damages must be brought to a civil court.

Exemptions, derogations and restrictions

38 Further exemptions and restrictions

Does the law include any derogations, exclusions or limitations other than those already described? Describe the relevant provisions.

Not applicable.

SERBIA BDK Advokati

Supervision

39 Judicial review

Can PII owners appeal against orders of the supervisory authority to the courts?

PII owners can appeal to the Administrative Court against orders of the Commissioner.

Specific data processing

40 Internet use

Describe any rules on the use of 'cookies' or equivalent technology.

The Electronic Communications Act provides that the PII owner can store cookies on the individual's terminal equipment if the individual is provided with clear and comprehensive information about the purpose of the collection and processing of PII and given an opportunity to refuse such processing.

There have been no authoritative rulings by the Commissioner or the courts as to adequacy of the specific modes of cookie notification.

41 Electronic communications marketing

Describe any rules on marketing by email, fax or telephone.

The E-commerce Act 2009 states that unsolicited commercial messages may be sent via email to individuals only if individuals have given their prior consent to such types of marketing.

42 Cloud services

Describe any rules or regulator guidance on the use of cloud computing services.

There are no specific provisions in the legal system of the Republic of Serbia regulating cloud computing services.



Advokati

Belgrade • Podgorica • Banja Luka

Bogdan Ivanišević
Milica Basta

bivanisevic@bdklegal.com mbasta@bdklegal.com

Majke Jevrosime 23 Belgrade 11000 Tel: +381 11 3284 212 Fax: +381 11 3284 213

Serbia

www.bdklegal.com

Getting the Deal Through

Acquisition Finance

Advertising & Marketing

Air Transport

Anti-Corruption Regulation

Anti-Money Laundering

Arbitration

Asset Recovery

Aviation Finance & Leasing

Banking Regulation Cartel Regulation Class Actions

Construction Copyright

Corporate Governance

Corporate Immigration

Cybersecurity

Data Protection & Privacy

Debt Capital Markets Dispute Resolution

Distribution & Agency

Domains & Domain Names

Dominance

e-Commerce

Electricity Regulation

Energy Disputes

Enforcement of Foreign Judgments

Environment & Climate Regulation

Equity Derivatives

Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits

Foreign Investment Review

Franchise

Fund Management

Gas Regulation

Government Investigations

Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation

Initial Public Offerings Insurance & Reinsurance

Insurance Litigation

Intellectual Property & Antitrust

Investment Treaty Arbitration

Islamic Finance & Markets

Labour & Employment

Legal Privilege & Professional Secrecy

Licensing

Life Sciences

Loans & Secured Financing

Mediation

Merger Control

Mergers & Acquisitions

Mining

Oil Regulation

Outsourcing

Dotonto

Pensions & Retirement Plans

Pharmaceutical Antitrust

Ports & Terminals

Private Antitrust Litigation

Private Client

Private Equity

Product Liability

Product Recall

Project Finance

Public-Private Partnerships

Public Procurement

Real Estate

Restructuring & Insolvency

Right of Publicity

Securities Finance

Securities Litigation

Shareholder Activism & Engagement

Ship Finance

Shipbuilding

Shipping

State Aid

Structured Finance & Securitisation

Tax Controversy

Tax on Inbound Investment

Telecoms & Media

Trade & Customs

Trademarks

Transfer Pricing

Vertical Agreements

Also available digitally



www.gettingthedealthrough.com



Data Protection & Privacy

ISSN 2051-1280







